IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

TUESDAY, THE 3RD JULY 2007 / 12TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 17930 of 2007(S)

PETITIONER:

ADV. D.B.BINU,
GENERAL SECRETARY, HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENCE FORUM
(REGD.), KERALA STATE, REGD. OFFICE AT XL1/3313,
I.S.PRESS ROAD, ERNAKULAM, KOCHI-18.

BY ADV. SRI.P.K.IBRAHIM
ADV. SMT.K.P.AMBIKA
ADV. SRI.THOMSTINE K.AUGUSTINE

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL,
ALL INDIA RADIO, NEW DELHI-1.

2. UNION OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND
BROADCASTING, SHASTRI BHAVAN, NEW DELHI.

BY ADV. SRI.A.SUDHI VASUDEVAN, ADDL.CGSC.
BY ASST.SOLICITOR GENERAL SHRI P. PARAMESWARAN NAIR.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L. DATTU, C.J. & K.T. SANKARAN, J.

.....

W.P.(C) No. 17930 OF 2007

.....

Dated this the 3rd July, 2007

J U D G M E N T

H.L. Dattu, C.J.:

A public spirited citizen is before us, inter alia seeking the following reliefs. They are as under:

> *i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the 1st respondent to consider Exhibit P3 representation and review the en masse transfer orders issued vide orders bearing Nos.30/2007 to 73/2007 dated 16.05.2007 and 17.05.2007 to the extent of transferring programme executives of specialized languages/disciplines to stations where no programmes of their respective discipline are planned, programmed and broadcasted and pass orders ensuring the services of these programme executives to organize and conduct the public service broadcasting efficiently;*
>
> *ii) Pass such other orders as this Hon'ble Court deems justified in the facts and circumstances of the case ; and*
>
> *iii) Award cost of this proceedings to the petitioner."*

2. The primary relief sought for in this Writ Petition, as we understand, is to direct the first respondent to consider Ext.P3 representation filed by the petitioner..

3. Notice of this Writ Petition was ordered to be issued and served on the respondents. They have entered appearance through their counsel.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents brings to our notice that the first respondent, after considering Ext.P3 representation filed by the petitioner has passed an appropriate order.

5. In view of this development, in our opinion, the reliefs sought for, by the petitioner in this Writ Petition, would not survive for consideration of this court. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of as having become unnecessary.

6. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner, if he so desires, to question the correctness or otherwise of the orders dated 29.06.2007, passed by the first respondent, before an appropriate forum.

7. I.A.No. 8569 of 2007 is also disposed of.

Ordered accordingly.

H.L. DATTU,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

K.T. SANKARAN,
JUDGE.

lk